## THE LEWY COUNTEREXAMPLE AND THE LOCAL EQUIVALENCE PROBLEM FOR G-STRUCTURES

## V. W. GUILLEMIN & S. STERNBERG

1. Let G be a Lie subgroup of GL(n). Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be differential manifolds of dimension n (in this paper all data will be assumed to be  $C^{\infty}$ ), and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , i=1, 2, be the principal frame bundle on  $M_i$ . A sub-bundle,  $P_i$ , of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  with structure group G is called a G-structure on  $M_i$ . The G-structure on  $M_1$  is said to be equivalent to the G-structure on  $M_2$  if there exists a diffeomorphism  $f: M_1 \to M_2$  such that the induced diffeomorphism  $f^*: \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$  carries  $P_1$  into  $P_2$ .

It is usually difficult to decide when two G-structures are equivalent; however the problem is a little simpler if we suppose that one of the structures, say  $P_1$ , is locally transitive, and look only at the local problem. Then the following is a necessary condition for the two structures to be locally equivalent:

\* At every point  $m_1 \in M_1$  and every point  $m_2 \in M_2$  there exists a power series mapping  $\rho$  (in local coordinates with origins at  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ) such that  $\rho$  formally effects a local equivalence between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

It might seem that (\*) is not much of an improvement over the original problem; however, by techniques of homological algebra it can be converted into a much simpler statement about the vanishing of certain canonically defined tensors on  $P_2$  (cf. [1], [2], [4]). The main problem therefore is to show that condition (\*) is sufficient. This is known to be true in the following important cases:

- 1) G is of finite type.
- 2) The data are real analytic.

According to a recent result of Malgrange (unpublished) it is known to be true when G is elliptic. According to a result of the first author it is true when  $P_1$  is flat. The purpose of this note is to show that condition (\*) isn't always sufficient. In fact we will show that in certain cases the solution of the equivalence problem depends on the solution of a system of linear inhomogeneous partial differential equations resembling the Lewy counterexample [3]. These equations are determined, all the data in them are  $C^{\infty}$  and they have no solutions even in the weak (distribution) sense.

2. Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  be globally defined vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the

Communicated June 26, 1967.

following commutation relations:  $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$ ,  $[X_1, X_3] = X_1$ ,  $[X_2, X_3] = -X_2$ . (Take for example the standard basis of so(3) and identify  $R^3$  with a subset of SO(3) under the mapping exp: so(3)  $\rightarrow$  SO(3).) Let  $X_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2)$  be coordinates on  $R^5$  and consider on  $R^5$  the moving frame:

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}$$
.

We will define a G-structure on  $R^5$  which has this moving frame as a global cross-section and has for a structure group the group of all  $5 \times 5$  matrices of the form

where the upper left hand block in (2.1) is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix and the lower right hand block the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. The G structure, which we will denote by  $P_1$ , is obtained by letting the matrices (2.1) act in all possible ways on the moving frame:

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}.$$

We will first of all determine the local diffeomorphisms of  $R^5$  into itself which preserve  $P_1$ .

Let f be such a diffeomorphism, and let f have the form:

$$x'_{i} = f_{i}(x, y) , i = 1, 2, 3 ,$$
  
 $y'_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha}(x, y) , \alpha = 1, 2 .$ 

From the condition

$$f^* \frac{\partial}{\partial y_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_a}$$
  $\alpha = 1, 2,$ 

we get

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha}}{\partial y_{\beta}} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} , \qquad \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial y_{\beta}} = 0 .$$

Thus

(2.2) 
$$x'_{i} = f_{i}(x) , \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 , y'_{a} = y_{a} + \phi_{a}(x) , \qquad \alpha = 1, 2 .$$

Next applying  $f_*$  to  $X_i$  we get

$$f_*X_i = f_*\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{ij} \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{x_i} f_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \mathcal{L}_{x_i} \psi_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}.$$

However,  $f_*X_i$  must be of the form

$$X_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 h_{\alpha i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}},$$

where  $h_{ai}$  is a 2  $\times$  3 matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a, b, c \\ -b, a, d \end{pmatrix}$$
.

From (2.1) we get a condition on  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ , namely,  $f_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x)$ ,  $f_3(x)$  must define a diffeomorphism of  $R^3$  into itself preserving  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ .

We also get two conditions on  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ :

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_1}\psi_2 - \mathscr{L}_{X_2}\psi_1 = 0 , \qquad \mathscr{L}_{X_1}\psi_2 + \mathscr{L}_{X_2}\psi_1 = 0 .$$

These two equations can be more compactly written in complex form:

(2.4) 
$$\mathscr{L}_{x_{1+\sqrt{-1}}x_{2}}(\varphi_{1}+\sqrt{-1}\,\varphi_{2})=0.$$

Summing up what has been proved above:

**Proposition 1.** The diffeomorphisms of  $P_1$  consist of all mappings of  $R^5$  into  $R^5$  of the form:

$$x'_{i} = f_{i}(x)$$
,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  
 $y'_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha} + \phi_{\alpha}(x)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ,

where  $\tilde{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$  belongs to the (local) Lie group on  $R^3$  associated with  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , and  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  satisfy (2.4).

It is clear from Proposition 1 that the G-structure described above is transitive. In fact, it is frame transitive (the family of mappings induced on  $P_1$  is

transitive) and involutive (cf. [1] for definitions).

Now we consider another G-structure defined on R<sup>5</sup> as follows. Let

$$X_i' = X_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 g_{\alpha i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}$$

where the  $g_{\alpha i}$  are for the moment unspecified functions of x. Let  $P_2$  be the G-structure obtained by applying all the matrices (2.1) to the moving frame

$$X_1', X_2', X_3', \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}.$$

We will consider what is involved in proving that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are locally equivalent. We must be able to find a local diffeomorphism f, of  $R^5$  into  $R^5$  with the property:

$$f_*X_i = X_i' + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 h_{\alpha i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where  $(h_{\alpha i})$  is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a, -b, c \\ b, a, d \end{pmatrix}$$
.

By an argument similar to that above we can show that f must have the following form in coordinates:

$$x'_{i} = f_{i}(x)$$
,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $y'_{a} = y_{a} + \psi_{a}(x)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ,

where the conditions on  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  are the same as in Proposition 1, but  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$  must satisfy the equation

$$(2.5) (\mathcal{L}_{X_1+\sqrt{-1}X_2})(\phi_1+\sqrt{-1}\phi_2)=g_1+\sqrt{-1}g_2,$$

where

$$g_1 = g_{11}(\dots, f_i(x), \dots) - g_{22}(\dots, f_i(x), \dots) ,$$
  

$$g_2 = g_{12}(\dots, f_i(x), \dots) + g_{21}(\dots, f_i(x), \dots) .$$

Lewy has shown that one can always choose the right hand side of (2.5) such that even locally it is impossible to find  $C^1$  functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  which

satisfy (2.5). On the other hand this equation is always formally solvable; so the condition (\*) of §1 is certainly satisfied by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . We can therefore conclude that (\*) does not always guarantee that the two structures are locally equivalent.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] V. W. Guillemin, The integrability problem for G-structures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1965) 544-560.
- [2] V. W. Guillemin & S. Sternberg, Deformation theory of pseudogroup structures, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 64, 1966.
- [3] H. Lewy, An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution, Ann. of Math. 66 (1957) 155-158.
- [4] S. Sternberg, Lectures on differential geometry, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964, Chapter VII.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY